

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,651	09/28/2001	Akihiro Terada	392.1725	1918	
21171 7.	590 07/07/2003				
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ELVE, MARIA	ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1725		

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_			mk-3			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	WIK 9			
Office Action Summary		09/964,651	TERADA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		M. Alexandra Elve	1725				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover st	neet with the correspondence ac	Idress			
Period fo		VIC CET TO EVDIE	DE AMONITU(O) EDOM				
THE I - Externanter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reprovended for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however oly within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX te, cause the application to be	, may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	May 2003 .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-fina	l.				
3)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
· _	on of Claims						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the applicatio						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration	on.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	or election requireme	ent.				
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) 🔲 🗀	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.				
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•				
11)[_]	The proposed drawing correction filed on			er.			
40.	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •	1 .				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	ın priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been receive	ed in Application No				
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pric application from the International Bu see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	Stage			
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).			
) ☐ The translation of the foreign language processories. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes						
Attachment		•					
2) X Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No ntice of Informal Patent Application (PT her:				

Application/Control Number: 09/964,651 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mombo-Caristan (US Pat. 5,603,853).

Mombo-Caristan discloses the welding of metal sheet products. The sheets are lap welded as the two sheets are unrolled from coil stock. There are upper and lower support rolls which support each sheet and are varied to accommodate different thicknesses. The spacing between the rolls is also used for applying pressure to the sheet which helps in urging the sheets in a forward direction. Preferably, the rolls are driven in order to control the motion. The upper roller and lower roller bear against the respective surfaces to maintain the sheets in a desirable position for welding and so forth. In addition the guide rollers may also be used as pressure rollers in order to planish the sheets in the overlap region. (abstract, figures 9a, b, c, col. 14, lines 36-47, col. 15, lines 10-20 & 62-67, col. 16, lines 1-25) Mombo-Caristan does not teach the use of a servomotor or the presence of a robot.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,651 Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

Mombo-Caristan discloses that the rollers are driven. It is obvious that the substitution of a driving mechanism with a servomotor, is obvious substitution of an equivalent structure. <u>In re Kuhle</u> 188 USPQ (CCPA 1975) and <u>In re Ruff</u> 118 USPQ 343 (CCPA 1958).

Mombo-Caristan does not disclose the use of a robot, however, the provision of a mechanical or automated means to replace manual activity has been held to be obvious. In re Venner 120 USPQ 192.

Response to Amendment

3. Upon carefully reviewing Applicant's arguments filed May 13, 2003 the Examiner acknowledges the addition of claims 20-21. Applicant's arguments filed May 13, 2003 (paper # 7) have been fully considered but they are most in view of new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 09/964,651

Art Unit: 1725

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final

action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is (703) 308-0092. The

examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Dunn, can be reached on (703) 308-3318.

Any inquiry of general nature to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

June 30. 2003.

M. ALEXANDRA ELVE

Page 4

PHIVIARY EXAMINER